

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES AND ORDER THEREON

CASE NO.: 08cv210-WQH-RBB CASE TITLE: San Diego Minutemen v. California Business
Transportation and Housing Agency's Department of
Transportation et al

E-FILED DATE: 2/13/08

DOCKET NO.: 9

DOCUMENT TITLE: Motion for Preliminary Injunction DOCUMENT FILED BY: Lowell Fuselier

Upon the electronic filing of the above referenced document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

X	Civil Local Rule or Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual provision ("ECF")	Discrepancy
	ECF § 2(h)	Includes a proposed order or requires judge's signature
	ECF § 2(a), (g)	Docket entry does not accurately reflect the document(s) filed
X	ECF § 2(g)	Multiple pleadings in one docket entry not separated out as attachments
	ECF § 2(f)	Lacking proper signature
	Civ. L. Rule 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
	Civ. L. Rule 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
X	Civ. L. Rule 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
X	Civ. L. Rule 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
	Civ. L. Rule 7.1	Missing table of contents
	Civ. L. Rule 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
X	ECF § 2(d)	Lacking Certificate of Service

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

	The document is accepted despite the discrepancy noted above. Any further non-compliant documents may be stricken from the record.
X	The document is rejected. It is ordered that the Clerk STRIKE the document from the record, and serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules or Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual may lead to penalties pursuant to Civil Local Rule 83.1.

DATED: 2-22-08

R.B. Firpo
Chambers of William Q. Hayes
United States District Court Judge